

The complex temporal and chromatic jitter signal of the M-dwarf EV Lac with multi-wavelength PRVs

Danny Krolikowski, NEID Software Team Postdoc @ U Arizona (formerly UT Austin)

Jennifer Burt, Eric Mamajek, Bryson Cale, Gautam Vasisht (JPL), Adam Kraus (UT Austin), Bradford Holden (UC Observatories)

This work was primarily funded by the NASA JPL Strategic University Research Partnerships (SURP) program

Context for our work and main takeaways

- **Context:** Stellar activity is the greatest roadblock to measuring precision planet masses
- Jitter decreases with wavelength, but exact relation is unknown^{1,2,3}, and temporal evolution is complex
- Activity models are phenomenological, need to be tied to stellar conditions: B-field, surface features^{4,5}
- Main takeaway: EV Lac is a highly active M-dwarf with a complex activity signal
- There is clear periodicity in both photometry and RVs at the same period, with multipole behavior
- Signal evolves over ~month to years, to different extents in photometry vs. RVs vs. activity indicators

EV Lac: properties and description

- A young, active M3 dwarf with a rotation period of ~4.3 days^{6,7,8}
- CARMENES finds activity RV signal with multiple periodicities⁹
- EV Lac is known to flare frequently^{10,11,12}

Observations and data sets

- Precision RVs with the APF¹³ (visible) and HPF¹⁴ (NIR) over 1.5 years
- Two "seasons" of TESS data: Sep-Oct 2019 & Sep-Nov 2022



The Fall 2022 APF, HPF, and TESS data is contemporaneous

TESS Photometry: there is rotational modulation in multiple sectors

9830

9840



There is clear periodicity in the light curve at P_{rot} = 4.36 days and its first harmonic

There is constant flaring of small to large amplitude (removed in this work)



Modulation amplitude is similar across seasons, but shape evolves significantly

Time (JD - 2450000)

9860

• The signal is "inverted" in 2022 vs 2019: change in spot distribution and size

9850



Jitter's temporal and chromatic evolution

9870

9880

9890



- **Multi-periodicity** at rotation and harmonic is seen in **RVs**, as in the TESS photometry
- Fit the APF and HPF time series with a **quasi-periodic Gaussian process**^{15,16} with pyaneti¹⁷
- Prediction from the light curve (FF' method^{16,18}) describes RV variation well but not exactly
- **Evolution in the signal between observing seasons** comparable to light curve shape changes



indicators: FWHM from RV method and Ca IRT, both should track spot filling factor^{19,20}

FWHM has stronger and more

consistent periodic signal

Ca IRT has weaker periodicity and

HPF RV HPF RV HPF FWHM HPF RV & FWHM

- Above: estimated jitter semi-amplitude for each rotation harmonic signal
- Jitter in both signals is: smaller in NIR & decreases between seasons
- Visible jitter decreases a lot at both periods, while NIR decreases more so for the "larger" signal than the "smaller" signal
- CARMENES jitter from years prior falls in the middle of above curves²¹

Future work and open questions

- Additional data to include: APF Ca H & K for activity indicator in visible
 - Search archival data (including CARMENES DR1²¹)
- Think about: multidimensional GP fit with instruments of different wavelengths and activity indicators that are related but not equal

Happy to discuss! (e.g. chromatic GP kernel²²)

Analyze other targets: we have APF/HPF data for a handful of other

active stars. Other significant campaigns: M-dwarfs AD Leo and OT Ser

References

[1] Reiners+ 2010, [2] Robertson+ 2020, [3] Tran+ 2021, [4] Lagrange+ 2010, [5] Shapiro+ 2014, [6] Shkolnik+ 2009, [7] Díez Alonso+ 2019, [8] Schöfer+ 2022, [9] Jeffers+ 2022, [10] Roques 1955, [11] Schmitt 1994, [12] Paudel+ 2021, [13] Vogt+ 2014, [14] Mahadevan+ 2014, [15] Roberts+ 2012, [16] Haywood+ 2014, [17] Barragán+ 2022, [18] Aigrain+ 2012, [19] Isaacson & Fischer 2010, [20] Rajpaul+ 2015, [221] Ribas+ 2023, [22] Cale+ 2021